various companies. In all cases where reduction in prices was involved prices have already been fixed under DPCO, 1987. No ceiling prices have been notified for these formulation packs.

Assessment of Amount on Benzathine Pencilline

2012. CHOWDHARY RAM SEWAK: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleas. ed to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 4592 given in the Rajya Sabha on 5th September, 1988 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the spe cial team worked out the amount due Separately on Banzathine Pencilline and its formulations;

(b) if so, what was the amount due worked out by the team in Tespect to formulations based on Frusemide, phenira-inine Maleate and PMT;

(c) what was the rate of recovery on each formulation taken for assessing the •mount on each of the formulations; and

(d) what is the difference between the entitlement of the company as per DPCO 1979 and the price actually charged for each of these formulations?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) to (d) The required details, to the extent available, would be collected and laid on the fable of the House.

Prices of the Products of M]s. Infar

2013. CHOWDHARY RAM SEWAK: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 3726 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 29th August, 1988 and state:

(a) what are the names of formulations of M]s. Infar which are under price control and what percentage they constitute in terms of sales;

(b) whether-it is a fact that the company has furnished details in the prescribed form;

(c) if no, what are the details there of;

(d) whether it is also a fact that this company increased the prices of non-scheduled medicines under DPCO, 1987

(e) if so, names of those medicines, earlier prces, present prices and percent age change; and

(f) whether it is also a fact that it is obligatory to provide details in respect to non-scheduled medicines?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) The required details to the extent available are given in the enclosed statement *{See* below). Information as to what percentage the controlled formulations constitute in ~*P* total sales of the company is not available.

(b) (c) and (f) As per the provisions of DPCO, 1987, the manufacturers are required to submit information in prescribed form.

(d) and (e) As per the provisions of DPCO, 1987, the manufacturers of non-scheduled drugs are free to revise the prices. However Government is keeping a watch and has intervened, wherever found necessary.

Statement

1. Dexatopic cream,
2. Docabolin Injection.
3. Nausifer Injection.
4. Nausifer syrup.
5. Nausifer Tablets
6. Nausifer-MPS Tablets.

Disagreement with Drug Companies on Assessed Amount *to* be Answered on the 28tt November, 1988

2014. SHRI CHITTA BASU: Will the Ministe- of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to Unstarred Qn. No. 4551 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 5th Sept. 1988 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that even the companies which went to the Supreme

Court had disputed the claim worked out against them and similar is the position of Fulford;

(b) what are the reasons for suspending the recovfery in respect to Rifampicin Doxycycline, Dipyridamol and Metronidazole in whose cases the amounts due were finally worked out and intimated to the companies;

(c) whether it is a fact that final figures were arrived at after giving hearings to the companies;

(d) what are the basis of disputes now at a later stage and what are the names of the companies;

(e) what arc the details of issue$_s$ which have been disputed by the companies?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY SHRI J. VENGAL RAO). (a) The representations of the companies have been fekec into account by the Special Team.

(b) to (e) As already stated in reply to the referred Questiont the amounts assessed for recovery have been disputed in a number of cases on various counts. It has been decided to refer this matter to a high Powered Committee.

Assessment of Amounts

2015. SHRI JAGESH DESAI: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 4550 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 5th September, 1988 and to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the special team has assessed only the tentative amounts and not the final;

(b) if so what are the reasons therefor

(c) whether it is a fact that drug com-anies provided complete details, information and clarifications wherever needed by the team;

(d) whether it is also a fact that all cuments needed by the Committee were provide for verification by the companies;

(e) whether the amount has been assessed based on difieience in the bulk drug rate or difference in the formula-tions rates of both; and

(f) what are the details of the cases where amount has been assessed based on bulk drug prices difference and what are the details of cases where it is between formulations rates as well as details where it is between both?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) The details of amount assessed by the special team are given in reply to parts (b) & (c) of the Rajya Sabha Starred Question No. 224 for 28.11.88.

(b) to (f) The special team has assessed the amount after verifying the records of the companies concerned.

Feasibility of Tehri Dam Project

2016. SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA

SHRI CHATURANAN MISHRA;

Will the Minister of ENERGY be pleas. ed to state;

(a) whether the work on the proposed Tehri Dam Project is in progress;

(b) if so, what are the details thereof}

(c) whether it is a fact that the Soviets are having a second thought on this project as it is not only economically unfeasible but technologically unsound also; and

(d) if so, what are the details thereof and what is Government's reaction thereto?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF POWER IN THE MINISTRY OF ENERGY (SHRI KALP NATH RAI): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Four diversion tunnels with an aggregate length of 6600 meters have been completed. Excavation for spillway stripp-ine of dam abutment, construction of head